



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/756,887	01/13/2004	Jeffrey W. Long	NC 84,925	3597
26384	7590	07/05/2006	EXAMINER	
NAVAL RESEARCH LABORATORY ASSOCIATE COUNSEL (PATENTS) CODE 1008.2 4555 OVERLOOK AVENUE, S.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20375-5320			MILLER, DANIEL H	
		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		1775		
DATE MAILED: 07/05/2006				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/756,887	LONG ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Daniel Miller	1775

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _____.
- 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-41 is/are pending in the application.
 - 4a) Of the above claim(s) 21-41 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.

Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 - a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|--|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____. |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>1/13/2004</u> . | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____. |

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-20, drawn to a composite material and its use as a capacitor, classified in class 428, subclass 408.
 - II. Claims 21-41, drawn to a method of making composite material, classified in class 427, subclass 249.1.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions group I and group II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case product can be made using a materially different method. For instance the method requires infiltration by the polymer while the composition does not have to be infiltrated by the polymer but merely coated. Furthermore, the polymer maybe coated directly instead of via a monomer.
3. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

4. During a telephone conversation with Joseph Grunkemeyer on 6/21/06 a provisional election was made with traverse to prosecute the invention of group I, claims 1-20. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 21-41 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

7. Claims 1-2, 4-6 and 9-11, and 13-15, 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bluvshtein et al (U.S. 2002/0089807).

8. Bluvshtein teaches a capacitor comprising an anode and a cathode (figure 1 and abstract), where the anode and cathode both comprise a carbon substrate with a high porosity [0017]. The carbon substrate is infiltrated with an aniline monomer and then an electrical current is used to create a polymerization of the aniline, which coats the

carbon substrate [0020, 0028]. Since the coating of Bluvshstein is created in substantially the same way as applicant's claimed method it is assumed the open pores of the carbon-based substrate would be retained as in applicant's composite. The structure further has an electrolyte [0017] and a current collector in contact with the cathode and anode (electrodes) [0030].

9. Regarding claims 2 and 11, the carbon substrate can be an aerogel (see example 1). Regarding claims 4 and 13, the average pore size can exemplarily be less than 100 nm in diameter [0035]. Regarding claims 5 and 14, the polymer coating is conductive otherwise the electrochemical polymerization process would not function [0028]. The method of production is substantially similar to that of applicants and is therefore inherently self limiting. Although even if the method was not found to be self-limiting electropolymerization the method of production is not indicative of patentability of the product where the final product is taught. Therefore claim 18 is anticipated.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

10. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

11. Claims 3, 8, 12, 17, and 19-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bluvshtein.

Art Unit: 1775

12. Bluvshtein, discussed above, is silent as to the carbon composite being formed from carbon nanofoam and templated mesoporous carbon, the thickness of the polymer coating, or the electrolyte being sulfuric acid (an aqueous liquid).

13. However, Bluvshtein does teach that the carbon substrate is highly porous and can comprise foam [0017] and other carbon substrates. It further teaches an exemplary embodiment with nanometer-sized pores (example 1). Therefore, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use a carbon nanofoam and a porous carbon such as templated mesoporous carbon because they are porous and have pore size and composition (foam) within the teachings and spirit of the invention.

14. Although the reference is silent as to the thickness of the coating it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to optimize the coating in order to obtain the highest specific capacitance and higher energy density than prior capacitors (abstract).

15. Regarding claims 19 and 20, although the reference does not teach an aqueous liquid phase electrolyte (instead using a solid or gel electrolyte) it is well known in the art to use sulfuric acid (a liquid aqueous solution) with electrodes similar to the ones taught by bluvshtein. For instance, most car batteries use a liquid form (aqueous solution) sulfuric acid electrolyte. It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use a sulfuric acid electrolyte in aqueous form.

16. Claims 7 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bluvshtein in view of Shi (US 6,383,640).

17. Bluvshstein, discussed above, teaches a polymerized coating but is silent as to the specific polymers listed in claim 7 and 16.
18. Shi teaches polythiophenes attached directly to an electrode (abstract and figure 1) used for a capacitor. The modified conductive polymer of Shi significantly improves cycle life (column 3 line 60-68).
19. It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to substitute the polymer of Bluvshstein with the polymer of Shi because the conductive polymer of Shi significantly improves cycle life

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel Miller whose telephone number is (571) 272-1534. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jennifer McNeil can be reached on (571) 272-1540. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1775

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.



Daniel Miller



JENNIFER C. MCNEIL
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
(6/23/06)